February 2, 1983

Professor Curtis Besinger
J. Eric Engstrom
Professor James L. Forsythe
Del D. Knauer
Sister Monica Schneider
Professor Emeritus Carlyle S. Smith

Dear Board Member:

Enclosed are materials for the next review board meeting. That meeting will take place on February 19, 1983, at 10:00 a.m. in the conference room of the Memorial Building here in Topeka. We are sending the agenda as well as information on the properties the board will be asked to evaluate for National Register nomination.

We hope all of you will be able to attend this meeting.

Sincerely,

Richard Pankratz
Director, Historic Preservation Dept.

RP: caf
Tentative Agenda

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

February 19, 1983    10:00 a.m.

(1) Call to order

(2) Approval of minutes of November 20, 1982, meeting

(3) Update on program activities

(4) Consideration of National Register nominations:

     Booth Hotel, 201-209 West Main, Independence, Montgomery county

     Pratt Ranch, Studley vicinity, Sheridan county

     Harmon Archeological Site (14LT324), Chetopa vicinity, Labette county

     Ernie's Rockshelter (14CT303), Peru vicinity, Chautauqua county

(5) Discussion of state-wide preservation planning process

(6) Other business

(7) Adjournment
Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review

February 19, 1983

Chairman J. Eric Engstrom convened the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review at 10:00 a.m. on the stage of the auditorium on the second floor of the Memorial Building. Other members present were James L. Forsythe, Curtis Besinger, Sister Monica Schneider, Del D. Knauer, Ellen May Stanley, Carlyle S. Smith, Thomas A. Witty, Ray B. Weisenburger, Joseph W. Snell, and Bob Richmond (designee for Governor Carlin). Staff of the preservation office present were Dick Pankratz, Martin Stein, Nora Pat Small and Carol Finan.

Mr. Forsythe moved the approval of the minutes of the November 20, 1982, meeting. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Stanley and carried.

Joe Snell informed the board that due to the concern of a few legislative members the museum would remain open until April 1, 1983. Exhibits will be limited.

Dick Pankratz brought the board up to date on events since the last board meeting. "Preservation Update" for February was also distributed. Five properties have been listed on the National Register since the past meeting - Hayford Building, Wichita; First State Bank, Edna; Old Frankfort City Jail, Frankfort; Brookville Grade School, Brookville, and the Santa Fe Depot, Baldwin. Kansas has been allocated $246,375 in federal historic preservation funds for federal FY 1983. Written instructions for preparing and restrictions for use of the funds have not yet been received although the staff is working with a number of potential subgrantees to develop applications. The Kansas Preservation Alliance apparently will not stage a preservation awards ceremony this year. The State Historical Society is willing to pick up that project. Several board members voiced their approval of pursuing an awards program.

Three proposed amendments to the board's rules of procedures were discussed. Action will be taken at the next meeting.

Dick then presented some proposed changes to the state historic preservation law. Board members who had comments on the changes were urged to provide them to the staff in writing. Joe Snell stated it was not likely any of the changes would be proposed this session.

The board then considered properties for national and state register listing. Nora Pat Small presented the Booth Hotel and the Pratt Ranch nominations. Martin Stein then presented the Harmon Archeological Site (14LT324). Each of these properties were approved for both National and State Register nomination. The board members making and seconding motions for approval are identified:

Booth Hotel, Independence, Montgomery County (M-Besinger, S- Stanley)

Pratt Ranch, Studley vicinity, Sheridan County (M-Engstrom, S-Forsythe)

Harmon Archeological Site, Labette County (M-Smith, S-Knauer)
As director of the Kansas State Historic Society, which administers the Pratt Ranch, Mr. Snell was not party to the discussion of that property and abstained from the voting on its nomination.

Martin Stein gave a detailed description of Ernie's Rockshelter (Archaeological Site 14CT303). It will be voted on at the next meeting.

Nora Pat Small explained RP3 (Resource Protection Planning Process). The Urban Study Unit and the Rural/Agricultural Dominance study unit were discussed in detail.

It was agreed that the board would next meet on Saturday, June 4, 1983, at 10:00 a.m.

Mr. Knauer moved the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Stanley and carried. The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.
REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Booth Hotel

Motion by Curtis Besinger to APPROVE DISAPPROVED TABLE
Seconded by Ellen May Stanley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bob Richmond</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. M. Huff</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

__________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________
REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Pratt Ranch

Motion by J. Eric Engstrom to APPROVE

Seconded by Ellen May Sterley

VOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bob Richmond</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED

If disapproved, reasons were:

[Additional text not visible]
REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Hanson Archeological Site (14L7.324)

Motion by Carlyle Smith (to APPROVE) DISAPPROVED TABLE
Seconded by Del D. Knauer

VOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bob Richmond</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________
June 22, 1982

Dear Board Member:

We have now heard from 10 members concerning the meeting date in August. The date when the most people can attend is Saturday, August 21. We recognize that it was not the preferred choice for everyone and hope that all of you will be able to work around that date in your schedules. A 10:00 a.m. starting time has been proposed to permit more people to drive in to Topeka on Saturday morning. As usual the meeting will be held in the conference room of the Memorial Building. At the present time it appears that the major business items will be the consideration of six to nine nominations and the election of officers. The meeting should last two to three hours. An agenda and other information will be sent to you prior to the meeting.

Sincerely,

Richard Pankratz
Director, Historic Preservation Department

RP/maw
June 9, 1982

Dear Board Member:

We would like to select a specific date for the "mid August" meeting of the Historic Sites Board of Review. Enclosed is a post card which lists 12 dates in August. Please circle all dates you could attend a meeting in Topeka and then sign and return the card. If none of the dates work for you, please indicate when you would be able to meet.

At the present time it appears that at least six nominations will be ready for the board's evaluation. And, depending on developments in Washington, the board may be asked to review some grant requests.

Sincerely,

Richard Pankratz
Director, Historic Preservation Department

RP/maw

Enclosure (1)
The 1982 session of the legislature enacted a law (Chapter 330, Session Laws of 1982) which stipulated that the state should not acquire additional historical sites without careful examination and evaluation. The law stated that an application asking for state acquisition of a property and signed by 10 citizens of Kansas should be filed with the secretary (Executive Director) of the Kansas State Historical Society. The Historical Society was directed by the law to prepare an application form, and it will furnish copies to interested persons upon request.

Upon receipt of a properly completed form the Kansas State Historical Society will perform necessary historical or archeological research and gather data on structural needs and costs as well as other pertinent information. That material will be considered by the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review which has legal responsibility for (1) evaluating the property in accordance with the eight factors listed in the law and (2) making recommendations to the governor and the legislature. The eight factors are as follows:

(1) whether the property illustrates, interprets, or is identified with an important aspect of Kansas history or prehistory;

(2) whether the property duplicates a state historic site already administered by the Historical Society;

(3) whether the property retains its original appearance, setting, and materials;

(4) whether the property has sufficient historical significance to justify costs of acquisition, rehabilitation, and maintenance;

(5) whether the property is readily accessible to the public;

(6) whether the property has ready access to utilities;
(7) whether the property could generate financial revenue in the future;

(8) such other factors as the board considers relevant.

Forms not properly completed will be returned to the submitters, and no research or further study will be made by the Historical Society on the properties they propose until the forms have been resubmitted with all required materials.
PROPOSAL OF PROPERTY FOR ACQUISITION BY THE STATE OF KANSAS
Application Form

1. Historic name of property____________________________
   Address__________________________________________
   ____________________________
   Legal Description________________________________

2. Name of owner_____________________________________
   Address__________________________________________
   ____________________________

3. Name of person preparing/submitting this application_____
   Address__________________________________________
   Telephone number________________ Date form prepared____

4. Is the property
   (a) a National Historic Landmark? yes no application filed don't know
   (b) a National Register property? yes no application filed don't know
   (c) a Kansas Register property? yes no application filed don't know
   (d) officially designated as his- yes no application filed don't know
toric by a local governing body?
   (e) covered by some other designation? yes no If yes, specify____

5. How much land is proposed for state acquisition?
   rural--how many acres?
   urban--how many lots? What are dimensions of the parcel?

6. Attach a written description of the physical appearance and condition
   of the property, including a description of the neighborhood/setting/
   physical environment in which the property is located.
7. Attach a documented history of the property which incorporates an explanation of its significance in Kansas history or prehistory.

8. Do any historical objects, artifacts, photographs, letters, diaries, or other documents exist that relate directly to the property and that would be available to the State Historical Society for research and/or exhibit? If yes, please attach a list. Identify the organizations or individuals who possess these materials. Could these items be obtained by the state through donation or purchase for study and/or exhibit purposes?

9. Provide a map showing the location of the property.

10. Attach several current photographs of good quality showing different views of the property. Copies of historical photographs should also be included if available.

11. Has any local historical society, preservation organization, civic group, etc. expressed an interest in obtaining the property? Explain.

12. Why should the state acquire the property?

13. What is the estimated cost of acquiring the property?

14. What is the owner's opinion concerning this proposal for state acquisition?
In signing this application each signatory attests (1) that he or she has read the information presented above and read and reviewed all attachments; (2) that all of the information is accurate so far as he or she knows; (3) that he or she is a citizen of Kansas; and (4) that he or she believes the state should acquire the property identified above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Type or print name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROPOSAL OF PROPERTY FOR ACQUISITION BY THE STATE OF KANSAS

Instructions for Application Form

Numbers refer to the appropriate sections of the application form.

1. List the name by which the property was known during the time it acquired its greatest significance. For urban properties the legal description should include lot number, block number, and subdivision; for rural properties it should include fraction, section, township, and range.

2,3. Self-explanatory.

4. Each of the first four parts to the question should be answered by circling or underlining the appropriate response.

5. Self-explanatory.

6. Provide as much information as possible on the appearance, materials and composition of the building or site in question. Include descriptions of both the exterior and interior of buildings as well as the grounds. For archeological sites, identify any disturbances in the site area, which may have damaged or altered the site, i.e., erosion, cultivation, construction, etc.

7. Submit a documented narrative relating the history of the property, addressing as appropriate the people, cultures, dates, events, organizations, architectural styles, etc., associated with the property. Evidence of documentation may be provided by submitting photocopies of the sources used to prepare this summary or by using footnotes in the historical statement and appending a bibliography.

8. The list of extant objects, documents, etc., associated with the property need not be exhaustive but it should provide adequate
information for the State Historical Society to determine if enough materials exist to accurately interpret the history of the property being proposed.

9. Any map of a scale adequate to show the exact location of the property may be used although a U.S.G.S. 7.5' quadrangle map is recommended. A photocopy of good quality will be acceptable.

10. Photographs may be either black and white or color. They should be at least three by five inches. Xerox copies of photos are not acceptable. Slides may be included only to supplement prints.


Notes:

1. If sufficient space is not available on the form for some of the responses which are to be placed on the form, use a plain sheet of typing paper as a continuation sheet.

2. All items submitted in support of this proposal become the property of the State of Kansas and none can be returned. These items may be reproduced and otherwise used by the Kansas State Historical Society in its educational and informational activities.

3. Completed copies of the application form and necessary attachments should be sent to Executive Director, ATTN: Prop. Acq., Kansas State Historical Society, 120 W. 10th, Topeka, Kansas 66612.
AGENDA

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

June 4, 1983 9:00 a.m.

(1) Call to order

(2) Approval of minutes of the February 19, 1983, meeting

(3) Update on program activities

(4) Consideration of changes to the board's rules of procedure

(5) Consideration of demolition of Grand Theater, Topeka

(6) Evaluation of grant applications

(7) Consideration of National Register nominations

Judge T. B. Wall House, 622 North St. Francis, Wichita, Sedgwick County
Wichita Wholesale Grocery Company, 619 East Williams, Wichita, Sedgwick County
Elmo Hotel, Summit Street and West Chestnut, Arkansas City, Cowley County
Cowley County National Bank, 820-822 Main Street, Winfield, Cowley County
St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad Depot, North Depot Street, Cherryvale, Montgomery County
KSAC Radio Towers, Kansas State University campus, Manhattan, Riley County
George and Annie Bell House, 1008 Ohio, Lawrence, Douglas County
Glancy-Pennell House, 519 North Fifth, Atchison, Atchison County
Robert L. Pease House, 203 North Second, Atchison, Atchison County
Kress Building, 702 Commercial, Emporia, Lyon County

(8) Other business

(9) Adjournment
**PROJECT FUNDING POSSIBILITIES WITH DELETION OF PARSONS CARNEGIE LIBRARY**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaffie House</td>
<td>28,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salter House</td>
<td>13,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase County Courthouse</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbian Building</td>
<td>87,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munger House</td>
<td>26,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman House</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neal House</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester Block</td>
<td>21,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>280,897</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaffie House</td>
<td>28,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase County Courthouse</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbian Building</td>
<td>101,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munger House</td>
<td>26,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman House</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neal House</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koester Block</td>
<td>21,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>280,897</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

June 4, 1983

Chairman J. Eric Engstrom called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. in the second floor conference room of the Wichita Historical Museum at 204 South Main in Wichita. Other board members present were Curtis Besinger, James Forsythe, Del Knauer, Robert Richmond (designee for Governor Carlin), Sister Monica Schneider, Carlyle S. Smith, Ellen May Stanley, Joseph Snell, and Ray Weisenburger. Historical Society staff present were Dick Pankratz, Terry Marmet, Nora Pat Small, and Joy Harnett. Several visitors were present at the meeting, including Pat Nelson, Esther Hockett, and Bill Ellington, all of Wichita, and Gordon Pitts and Kenny Strader of Argonia.

Prior to beginning the agenda, Mr. Engstrom called on Mr. Snell to make a special presentation. Mr. Snell noted that Prof. Smith was retiring from the review board after 14 years. Prof. Smith has been on the review board since it was established in 1969. Mr. Snell expressed the appreciation of the Historical Society for Prof. Smith’s valuable contributions to the board and the preservation program and presented him with a plaque recognizing his service on the board.

Prof. Besinger moved the approval of the minutes of the February 19, 1983, meeting. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Stanley and approved.

Mr. Pankratz brought the board up to date on events since the last meeting. "Preservation Update" for May was also distributed. Sixteen properties had been listed on the National Register since the previous meeting—North Topeka Avenue-10th Street Historic District, Wichita; Carnegie Library, Caldwell; 10 Marsh Arch bridges; Booth Hotel, Independence; John Fenton Pratt Ranch, Studley vicinity; Elm Creek Petroglyph Site, Ellsworth county; and Harmon Archeological Site, Chetopa vicinity. The certified local government regulations have been published for comment by the Department of the Interior. The Historic Preservation Department will begin work on implementing that program in Kansas later this summer. Mr. Marmet reviewed the Tax Act projects underway or pending within the state. Mr. Pankratz and Mr. Engstrom offered brief comments on the annual meeting of the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers in Providence, R.I. Their reports elicited comments from board members regarding the need for greater visibility of the preservation program and for greater utilization of review board members. Prof. Weisenburger inquired about the disposition of the problems with the survey grant to the North Central Regional Planning Commission. Mr. Pankratz and Mr. Snell related that the project had been terminated by request of the Planning Commission on the date the Historical Society had planned to mail its notice of termination. The money will be reassigned to Kansas State University to help fund the recording project at Nicodemus.

Three additions to the board’s rules of procedures were considered. They dealt with the board’s new responsibilities for evaluation of historic sites proposed for state acquisition and with the removal of properties from the state and national registers. Prof. Weisenburger moved their adoption. The motion was seconded by Mr. Snell and carried.

Mr. Pankratz explained the situation that had developed in Topeka with the Grand Theater. A demolition permit had been issued by the city in violation of K.S.A. 75-2724. The Attorney General had worked out an agreement whereby the State Historic
Preservation Officer would be asked for his comments. The SHPO has scheduled a public hearing on the demolition for 10:00 a.m. on June 10 in the auditorium of the Memorial Building in Topeka. Mr. Pankratz stated that the SHPO was also consulting the board and requesting its opinion. Mr. Knauer moved and Prof. Smith seconded the motion that the demolition of the Grand Theater constituted an adverse effect. It was also the board's consensus that the Grand Theater was an important element in the heritage of Topeka and Topeka's downtown. As a major downtown theater, it is one of the last of its kind, a vanishing resource. Inasmuch as Topeka is trying to revitalize and upgrade its downtown area, this building is a logical candidate for rehabilitation and reuse.

The next item on the agenda was the evaluation of the grant applications for the FY 1983 Jobs Bill preservation funds. Mr. Pankratz explained the procedures that he and Mr. Marmet had followed in visiting, evaluating, and ranking the projects. He stated that originally three projects were assured by the staff because they had been approved for funding when the federal preservation funds had been reacquired in January, 1981. They were Parsons Carnegie Library, the Mahaffie house in Olathe, and the Salter House in Argonia. The assurance to the Salter house project had been withdrawn by the staff at an on-site visit when it was verified that the building had been recently waterproofed, a practice not in accordance with the standards for preservation projects. Staff informed the applicant that the project could still be considered by the board. The City of Parsons was considered by the staff to have withdrawn its request since evidence of a firm commitment to provide local funds to match the federal grant was never provided. (Mr. Pankratz related the difficulties the staff had experienced in trying to obtain that guarantee from Parsons. He noted that the City had applied for Community Development Block Grant funds to match the federal preservation funds but was turned down just a week ago. One city employee had told Mr. Marmet that as a result the preservation application would be withdrawn. Mr. Pankratz said he had spoken with the city manager on June 2 and again requested a firm commitment. The city manager was sure they would proceed with the project but he was requested by Mr. Pankratz to call June 3 one way or another after he had talked to all commission members. No call was received.)

Mr. Marmet reviewed the categories on which all projects were evaluated, showed slides of each project, and discussed the work on each. He also described in detail the problem with the work just completed at the Salter house. During the discussion of the Salter house project, the board heard from two members of the organization which administers the Salter house, Gordon Pitts, Jr., and Kenny Strader. Both indicated their group's dedication to preserving the building and said the improper actions were done in ignorance but with good intentions. They indicated their willingness to work with the staff and follow proper procedures in future treatments.

After considerable discussion it was the consensus of the board that the City of Parsons had withdrawn its grant application by not responding to the staff's repeated requests for guarantee of local matching funds. A motion to drop that project from funding consideration was made by Prof. Smith, seconded by Mr. Knauer, and carried.

Discussion then followed on funding possibilities. A motion was made by Prof. Forsythe and seconded by Sister Monica Schneider to recommend the following allocations:
The motion carried. It was also the consensus of the board that if a project dropped out and adequate time remained to reassign funds, the staff should take necessary steps to do so.

The board then considered properties for national and state register listing. Ten nominations were presented by Ms. Small. Each was approved for both national and state register nomination. The board members making and seconding motions for approval are identified for each property:

Judge T.B. Wall House, Wichita, Sedgwick County  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Engstrom)

Wichita Wholesale Grocery Company, Wichita, Sedgwick County  
(M-Besinger, S-Stanley)

Elmo Hotel, Arkansas City, Cowley County  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Engstrom)

(The board adjourned at 12:00 noon for lunch and reconvened at 12:30 p.m.)

Cowley County National Bank, Winfield, Cowley County  
(M-Knauer, S-Forsythe)

St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad Depot, Cherryvale, Montgomery County  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Stanley)

KSAC Radio Towers, Kansas State University campus, Manhattan, Riley County  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Smith)

(Professor Smith left the meeting at 1:00 p.m.)

George and Annie Bell House, Lawrence, Douglas County  
(M-Stanley, S-Schneider)

Glancy-Pennell House, Atchison, Atchison County  
(M-Besinger, S-Knauer)

Robert Pease House, Atchison, Atchison County  
(M-Schneider, S-Forsythe)

Kress Building, Emporia, Lyon County  
(M-Forsythe, S-Stanley)
Adoption of the following resolution was moved by Mr. Engstrom:

Resolved, that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review supports the retention of the federal 25% Investment Tax Credit for the rehabilitation of historic buildings.

It was seconded by Prof. Besinger and approved.

Adoption of the following resolution was moved by Mr. Snell:

Resolved, that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review expresses its appreciation to Robert Puckett, Director of the Wichita Historical Museum, and to his staff for their generosity in making their facilities available to the Board for the meeting and for the hospitality they have provided the Board.

It was seconded by Mr. Engstrom and approved.

Adoption of the following resolution was moved by Mr. Snell:

Resolved, that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review expresses its thanks and appreciation to chairman J. Eric Engstrom for hosting the review board and staff at his home. His concern for the welfare of his co-board members and the staff has helped to make this meeting in Wichita most successful.

It was seconded by Prof. Besinger and approved.

The next meeting was tentatively set for Saturday, August 27, 1983.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 p.m.
Tentative Agenda

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

June 4, 1983  9:00 a.m.

(1) Call to order

(2) Approval of minutes of the February 19, 1983, meeting

(3) Update on program activities

(4) Consideration of changes to the board's rules of procedure

(5) Consideration of National Register nominations
   
   Cowley County National Bank, 820-822 Main Street, Winfield, Cowley county
   Wichita Wholesale Grocery Company, 619 East Williams, Wichita, Sedgwick county
   Judge T.B. Wall House, 622 North St. Francis, Wichita, Sedgwick county
   KSAC Radio Towers, Kansas State University campus, Manhattan, Riley county
   George and Annie Bell House, 1008 Ohio, Lawrence, Douglas county
   Clancy-Pennell House, 519 North Fifth, Atchison, Atchison county
   Robert L. Pease House, 203 North Second, Atchison, Atchison county
   Elmo Hotel, Summit Street and West Chestnut, Arkansas City, Cowley county
   Kress Building, 702 Commercial, Emporia, Lyon county
   St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad Depot, North Depot Street, Cherryvale, Montgomery county

(6) Evaluation of grant applications

(7) Other business

(8) Adjournment
Meeting called to order at 9 a.m.


Joe spoke of Carlyle's service to the Board for 14 years and presented him a plaque in recognition of those years. This is his last board meeting.

Approval of minutes of last meeting
moved - Curtis
seconded - Ellen May

Dick mentioned properties added to the register since last meeting.

Discussion of Park Service publishing regulations
Staff will begin working on regulations this summer
Discussion of Federal regulation requirements

Tax incentives explained by Terry.

Eric and Dick told about their Providence trip.

Eric stated that the Committee on State Review Boards was very helpful and that concern has shifter regarding budgetary interests.

Dick discussed the workshops, Public Awareness--improving communication to audience.

$75,000,000 Preservation Jobs Bill introduced in Congress

Federal FY84 Appropriation -- $55 million

Tax incentives may be eliminated -- might wipe out 15 and 20 and have only one for historic buildings.

Ray stated that he felt preservation should be made more visible by being involved more with local historical societies. More exposure is needed.

Eric thought being lumped with the State Historical Society hurts public awareness of sites board.

Northcentral Regional Planning Committee was discussed.

CHANGES OF RULES & PROCEDURES

changes were discussed.

Ray moved and Joe seconded that changes by amended as stated. Passed action.
Consideration of demolition of Grand Theater:

Slides were shown and Dick explained procedures and laws.
Del moved and Carlyle seconded that demolition was an adverse effect.

Joe will report to the City that the Grand is important and one of the few theaters and a part of downtown heritage.

EVALUATION OF GRANT APPLICATIONS

Dick defined actions and procedures of staff.

Three properties money was assured to three years.
1. Parsons Carnegie Library (?) 
2. Mahaffie House 
3. Salter House 

Terry went over points and rankings assignments.

Eric commended the staff on grading and ranking of these categories.

Slides of projects were shown. Discussion followed.

Representatives from Argonia Salter House added comments.

Dick discussed Parsons library being dropped.

Moved - Carlyle
Seconded - Del to delete them. Action passed.

Discussion of funding possibilities.

moved - Jim
Seconded - Sister Monica to accept F. funding. action passed.

Board consensus to move on down line if a project drops out to transfer fundings to other projects if possible.

NOMINATIONS

Nora Pat showed and explained slides.

Judge T. B. Wall House:

moved - Ray
seconded - Eric Passed

Wichita Wholesale Grocery Co.

moved - Curtis
seconded - Ellen May Passed
Elmo Hotel, Cowley Co.

moved - Ray
seconded - Eric Passed

adjourned at 12:00 for lunch
reconvened at 12:30

Cowley Co. National Bank

moved - Del
seconded - Jim Passed

St. Louis-San Francisco R.R. Depot

moved - Ray
seconded - Ellen May Passed

KSAC Radio Towers, KSU Campus

moved - Ray
seconded - Carlyle Passed

Carlyle Smith left the meeting at 1:00.

George & Annie Bell House

moved - Ellen May
seconded - Sister Monica Passed

Glancy-Pennell House

moved - Curtis
seconded - Del Passed

Robert L. Pease House

moved - Sister Monica
seconded - Jim Passed

Kress Building

moved - Jim
seconded - Ellen May Passed
Eric read:

It is hereby resolved that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review supports the retention of the Federal 25% Investment Tax Credit for the rehabilitation of historic buildings.

moved - Eric
seconded - Curtis that this be passed

Joe read:

Resolved, that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review expresses its appreciation to Robert Puckett, Director of the Wichita Historical Museum, and to his staff for their generosity in making their facilities available to the Board for the meeting and for the hospitality they have provided the Board.

moved - Joe
seconded - Eric to accept this.

Resolved that the Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review expresses its thanks and appreciation to chairman J. Eric Engstrom for hosting the review board and staff at his home. His concern for the welfare of his co-board members and the staff has helped to make this meeting in Wichita most successful.

moved - Joe
seconded - Curtis passed

Next meeting was tentatively set for August 27, 1983.

Adjourned at 1:30 p.m.
Dear Board Member:

This is a reminder that the review board's next meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Saturday, August 27, 1983, in the second floor conference room of the Memorial Building in Topeka.

Copies of the National Register nominations to be considered at this meeting are enclosed. Also included is a tentative agenda. One change already made to that agenda is the deletion of Ernie's Rockshelter. The foreign owners of that property have objected, mainly because they don't understand the program. Martin will try to meet with them when they visit their property this fall.

Sincerely,

Richard Pankratz
Director, Historic Preservation Dept.

RP: caf
Tentative Agenda

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW
Memorial Building, Topeka
August 27, 1983  10:00 a.m.

(1) Call to order

(2) Approval of minutes of the June 4, 1983, meeting

(3) Annual election of officers

(4) Update on program activities

(5) Consideration of National Register nominations

   Ernie's Rockshelter (14CT303), Peru vicinity, Chautauqua county

   Shawnee Street Bridge, .1 mile northwest of I-35, Kansas City, Wyandotte county

   Terminal Station, 111 2nd Avenue East, Hutchinson, Reno county

   Arkansas City Commercial Center Historic District, Arkansas City, Cowley county

(6) Consideration of nomination for state register only

   William F. Day Farm, Allen vicinity, Lyon county

(7) Other business

(8) Adjournment
KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW
August 27, 1983

Chairman J. Eric Engstrom called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. in the second floor conference room of the Memorial Building, Topeka, Kansas. Other members present were Thomas P. Barr, James L. Forsythe, Sister Monica Schneider, Ellen May Stanley, Ray B. Weisenburger, Joseph W. Snell, Thomas A. Witty, Curtis Besinger, Del D. Knauer, and Catherine Wasinger (designee for governor). Larry Jochims, the Kansas State Historical Society's Research Historian, was also present as were Dick Pankratz, Nora Pat Small and Carol Finan of the preservation office.

Sister Monica moved the approval of the minutes of the June 4, 1983, meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Forsythe and carried.

The annual election of officers for the review board was held. James L. Forsythe moved that J. Eric Engstrom be nominated for Chairman and Sister Monica Schneider seconded the motion. Ray Weisenburger moved that Ellen May Stanley be nominated for vice-chairman and James L. Forsythe seconded. Both motions were approved.

Dick Pankratz brought the board up to date on events since the last meeting. Four properties had been listed on the National Register: Judge Wall House, Wichita; Wichita Wholesale Grocery Co., Wichita; George and Annie Bell House, Lawrence; and the Cowley County National Bank, Winfield. The total of Kansas National Register properties is now 375. Dick also stated that although there hadn't been any news concerning the Grand Theater (Topeka) in over a month, an ad hoc committee which toured the Grand on Tuesday, August 23, reported that the previous figure given for rehabilitation costs has doubled. It is now approximately $3 million. The eight projects which are to receive Jobs Bill funds all have project architects working on plans and specifications.

Terry Marmet and Dick Pankratz were to go to Denver, Colorado on Monday, August 29, to attend the National Park Service workshop. All aspects of the program will be covered. The search for a replacement for Nora Pat Small, the department’s architectural historian, is still underway. Next month’s Preservation News will contain the advertisement for the position and we hope to receive a number of qualified applications through that process. Work is now underway on two of the tax incentives project, the Santa Fe Railroad Depot in Newton and the old St. John’s Lutheran School in Topeka.

Nora Pat Small gave a brief rundown of the programs and activities of the six survey subgrantees and also of the work that had been accomplished on the preservation planning process. She indicated that each board member would receive copies of the materials as they became available for distribution.

The board then considered properties for national and state register listing. Four nominations were presented by Ms. Small. Three were approved for both national and state register listing and one was disapproved for state listing only. The board members making and seconding motions for approval (disapproval) are identified for each property.
Shawnee Street Bridge, Kansas City, Wyandotte county (approved)  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Stanley)

Terminal Station, Hutchinson, Reno county (approved)  
(M-Engstrom, S-Forsythe)

Arkansas City Commercial Center Historic District, Arkansas City,  
Cowley county, (approved)  
(M-Weisenburger, S-Schneider)

William P. Day Farm, Allen vicinity, Lyon county (disapproved)  
(M-Stanley, S-Forsythe)

It was suggested by several of the board members that Deborah Carol Wells  
Aukée be commended for the outstanding job she did in preparing the nomination  
for the Terminal Station in Hutchinson. Chairman Engstrom agreed and volunteered  
to write her an official letter commending her for her work.

Ray Weisenburger brought up the subject of the Santa Fe depots and wanted to  
know what the board could do to help prevent their demolition. A brief discussion  
was held, but it was decided that time would be allocated at the next board meeting.

This meeting marked the last official business which Nora Pat Small conducted as  
the Society's architectural historian. She announced that she was moving to  
Massachusetts. Several board members voiced their opinions and appreciation of Nora  
Pat's work while she has been working with them.

The date and time of the next meeting were discussed. Tentative dates are  
either November 12 or December 10 in Topeka, depending on when the architectural  
historian's position is filled.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.
### REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

**Property:** Shawnee Street Bridge

**Motion by** Ray to APPROVE

**Seconded by** Ellen May

#### VOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/Bill Muir</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disposition:** APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

---

If disapproved, reasons were:

---

---
REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Terminal Station

Motion by Eric Engstrom to APPROVE

Seconded by Jim Forsythe

DATE August 27, 1983

VOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bill Muir</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED

If disapproved, reasons were:


REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Arkansas City Commercial District Dist

Motion by Ray Weisenburger to APPROVE DISAPPROVED TABLE
Seconded by Sister Monica

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bill Muir</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: William R. Day Farm

Motion by Ellen May to APPROVE DISAPPROVED TABLE
Seconded by Forsythe

VOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Eric Engstrom</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Forsythe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Besinger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister Monica Schneider</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del D. Knauer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen May Stanley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle S. Smith</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Witty</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray B. Weisenburger</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor/ Bill Muir</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph W. Snell</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:


